

CITY OF SPARKS, NV COMMUNITY SERVICES DEPARTMENT

To: Mayor and City Council

From: Marilie Smith, Administrative Secretary

Subject: Report of Sparks Planning Commission Action

Date: January 30, 2020

RE: PCN19-0036 – Consideration of and possible action on a request to

amend a final planned development handbook (Kiley Ranch North Phase 2) to add approximately 9.3 acres and redefine and clarify land uses allowed in the handbook's Mixed-Use category on a site approximately 21.31 acres in size in the NUD (New Urban District – Kiley Ranch North) zoning district generally located east of Kiley Parkway, north of Windmill Farms Parkway and south of Wingfield Hills

Road, Sparks, Nevada.

Please see the attached excerpt from the January 16, 2020 Planning Commission meeting transcript.

1 COMMISSIONER PETERSEN: Madam Vice Chair, I'd like to make a motion to approve the minutes. 2 COMMISSIONER READ: Thank you. 3 Do we have a second? 4 5 COMMISSIONER BLACO: I'll second. COMMISSIONER READ: Okay. We have a first from 6 Commissioner Petersen and a second from Commissioner 7 Blaco. All those in favor, signify by saying "aye." 9 (Commission members said "aye.") 10 COMMISSIONER READ: Opposed? All right. It passes unanimously. 11 Next, we have informational items. 12 MR. ORNELAS: Madam Chair, I don't have any 13 informational items for the Planning Commission at this 1 4 time. 15 COMMISSIONER READ: Okay. Thank you, Armando. 16 Okay. So we will go ahead and move to the 17 public hearing items. First up is PCN19-0019, 18 consider -- oh, sorry, that's not right. PCN19-0036, 19 20 consideration of and possible action on a request to amend the final planned development handbook, Kiley 21 Ranch North Phase 2. 2.2 23 MR. CUMMINS: Thank you, Madam Chair. Planning Commissioners, I'm Jonathan Cummins, Planner. 24

PCN19-0036 is a request to amend the planned development handbook for Kiley Ranch North Phase 2. The site for Phase 2 is outlined in red on the map.

1 4

2.2

Ranch North development was approved in 2004 for a development of just over 830 acres. It was intended that the larger Kiley Ranch North development would be approved in phases over time. And as such, those individual phases would have their own land use categories, permitted uses, development standards, and so on.

So the tentative handbook was amended recently, in November of 2019. And it now includes 874 acres, with some realignments of phases, including the one that's before you tonight. The applicant and staff agree that the proposed realignment of phases and the land use categories and the permitted uses throughout the larger Kiley Ranch North development better reflect the intended Comprehensive Plan land uses.

So a little bit of background on this particular item, the Kiley Ranch North Phase 2 handbook was originally adopted in 2006. It was amended in 2014 and as of now includes 12.01 acres of mixed-use and open space.

As you can see on the second slide, the

proposed new Phase 2 will add 9.3 acres to the existing

12.01, for a new total of 21.31 acres, to include .84

acres of open space. So the parcels outlined in bright

green on the top of the parcel or the top of the

property are going to be added to what was existing

outlined in blue. So the red outline is the new Phase 2

Kiley Ranch North.

So in addition to realigning and adding some land to Phase 2, the main changes are the permitted uses allowed for in Phase 2.

2.2

So these are categorized somewhat broadly, but they're actually relatively limited in what was allowed originally in Phase 2. The office building that currently exists there is the only structure on the site. Allowed for originally was attached or detached single-family housing, in addition to a multi-family and a limited gaming, which was anticipated and never came to fruition.

So I'm going to show sort of a strikeout with added in blue the new uses that will be allowed according to the proposed amendments.

So stricken out in red are detached single-family residences. So no longer will those be

permitted in Phase 2 in order to achieve the mixed-use character that was anticipated in tentative handbook.

2.2

And so there's a pretty exhaustive list of permitted uses now in Phase 2, and I've categorized those and broken them down into these, what I've outlined in blue: medical office, lodging, personal services, et cetera. There's various restaurant, retail, and other office uses that are going to be permitted in this phase, which staff and the applicant agree will contribute to the mixed-use character of Phase 2.

So the following findings are required in order to amend a planned development handbook. And I'll apologize ahead of time. I've tried to group a couple of them together, but it gets a little bit repetitive as far as what we have to find in a planned development handbook amendment.

Findings A and B are consistency with the Comprehensive Plan, as well as consistency with surrounding land uses. The proposed changes will bring Phase 2 in conformance with the tentative handbook. That's our main point that we're going to be making throughout the various findings tonight.

Specifically, in addition to coming to

1 conformance of the tentative handbook, we will be going, coming into conformance with the Comprehensive Plan by 2 meeting goals MG1 and MG2. Those are to support 3 economic vitality with a non-residential land use base, 4 5 and to foster diversity in the land use mix. commercial uses will create a mixed-use environment in addition to removing the single-family housing, which 7 will require multi-family housing as well as attached 9 single-family, like townhouses and condominiums,

et cetera.

10

11

12

13

1 4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

2.2

Finding B. Excuse me. Finding C requires that an amendment to a planned development handbook be fiscally positive to the City for 20 years. But because of the amendment to the tentative handbook which was conducted or approved last year, a fiscal impact analysis was conducted for the changes in land uses at that time. And as such, we do not require one for this particular realignment of Phase 2.

Findings D and E are to further the interest of residents to preserve the plan and not to impair the reasonable reliance of residents on the plan as approved.

So the residents of Kiley Ranch North will benefit from the added services and commercial

1	not only services and destinations for residents, but
2	also add employment opportunities to the residents of
3	the single-family that surrounds the site, for instance.
4	So I hope that addresses what we were sort of
5	looking to get at on Tuesday.
6	Finding I requires that amendments not granted
7	solely to confer a private benefit upon any person. The
8	changes would propose to bring Phase 2 in conformance
9	with the handbook and bring added services to all of
10	North Sparks. Therefore, no individual person would be
11	intended to benefit from these changes more than any
12	other.
13	And Finding J requires public notice be given
14	and a public hearing held. We did mail 89 notices to
15	residents and property owners within 750 feet of the
16	site on January 1st. And tonight's meeting serves as
17	the public hearing.
18	And I will be glad to take your questions.
19	COMMISSIONER READ: Thank you.
20	Do the Commissioners have any questions for
21	staff?
22	COMMISSIONER BLACO: I do.
23	Commissioner Blaco.
24	COMMISSIONER BLACO: Have we received any

1 destinations that will be available on the Phase 2 site.

2 | And as such, we're supporting residents' original

3 reliance on the handbook, those who are currently

4 residing in Kiley Ranch North as well as in greater

5 north Sparks.

2.2

Finding F requires that proposed changes will not adversely affect the public interest. Staff and the applicant agreed that adding these commercial and other uses to Phase 2 would have a positive effect, adding a greater number of services available to the residents of Kiley Ranch North more broadly.

Finding G requires consistency with the development and preservation of the entire planned development. The proposed changes are essentially bringing the Phase 2 site in conformance with the larger Kiley Ranch North tentative handbook. As I said, it's a little bit repetitive, but this is sort of the overall goal of doing this tonight.

Finding H requires that the changes not affect the enjoyment of land abutting or across a street from the development. This is the question that came up on our Study Session on Tuesday. So I wanted to elaborate a little bit that we believe that the proposed uses, the retail, restaurant, office, et cetera, are going to add

1 response from the public notices at all? I received two emails from MR. CUMMINS: 2 members of the public asking to clarify the list of uses 3 that we provided earlier tonight. So those, that 4 5 information was given to those people who asked for it. And beyond that, there was no reply. 6 COMMISSIONER BLACO: Okay. Thank you. 7 COMMISSIONER READ: Any other questions? 8 9 Commissioner Carey? 10 CHAIRMAN CAREY: Yeah, thank you, Madam Vice Chair. 11 Jonathan, I wanted to follow up on my planning, 12 on my question I had at the Study Session about the 13 potential impact that you talked about in Finding H. Ι 1 4 just wondered if you were able to dig up some more info 15 on that. 16 MR. CUMMINS: Yeah, absolutely. And so I tried 17 to sort of summarize what the sort of benefits would be 18 potentially by adding these, the specific uses, the 19 20 commercial, including retail, restaurant, office uses, 21 that are not only going to provide new services for the 2.2 residents who live to the east and to the south, or who will live to the east and to the south of the property 23 on IDR, Intermediate Density Residential, sites. 24

1 So we, you know, we concluded by, you know, in conferring with the applicant, that those uses would be 2 of benefit to those potential surrounding properties, 3 which would be single-family, to a great extent. 4 5 So does that answer your question? CHAIRMAN CAREY: It sure does. Thank you. 6 MR. CUMMINS: You're welcome. 7 COMMISSIONER READ: Are there any other, 8 9 further questions? 10 Thank you. 11 Would the applicant like to come up and provide any additional information? 12 MR. DEREK WILSON: Thank you. Good evening. 13 Derek Wilson with Rubicon Design Group. 1 4 I don't think I need to add very much. 15 has done a great job. And, I think, Kiley Ranch in 16 general has been pretty heavily reviewed. 17 But I would just like to point out that the new 18 uses that were mentioned are not necessarily specific to 19 20 this Phase 2. We're not bringing new uses to Kiley Ranch in general. It's a follow-on from the tentative 21 2.2 approval which expanded this area to a mixed-use land use designation. And that new category does introduce 23

But we're

those new uses as allowable within Phase 2.

24

1 not, in general, revamping Kiley Ranch as a whole. We're just expanding that allowed use table to this 2 particular section of the project. 3 So I can elaborate on that as needed. But 4 5 that's all I wanted to clarify. Thank you. 6 COMMISSIONER READ: Thank you. 7 Do the Commissioners have any questions for the 8 9 applicant, or any other, further questions? 10 Commissioner Carey? CHAIRMAN CAREY: No, I don't. Thank you. 11 COMMISSIONER READ: Okay. Well, this is a 12 public hearing item. So with that, I'd like to open up 13 the public comment. Do we have any public comment on 1 4 this item? 1.5 Anybody else from the audience wish to address 16 this item? 17 Hearing none, then I will close the public 18 hearing and bring it back to the Commission. 19 COMMISSIONER BLACO: I'd like to make a motion. 20 21 COMMISSIONER READ: Go ahead, Commissioner 2.2 Blaco. COMMISSIONER BLACO: I move to forward a 23 24 recommendation of approval to the City Council of

1 PCN19-0036, an amendment to the final handbook for Phase 2 of the Kiley Ranch North Planned Development as 2 proposed, as the proposed amendment is in substantial 3 compliance with the tentative Kiley Ranch North Planned 4 5 Development Handbook, adopting Findings A through J and the facts supporting these findings as set forth in the 6 staff report. 7 COMMISSIONER READ: 8 Thank you. 9 Do we have a second? COMMISSIONER PRITSOS: 10 Second. 11 COMMISSIONER READ: We have a first by Commissioner -- a motion by Commissioner Blaco and a 12 second by Commissioner Pritsos. Are we ready for a 13 Okay. All those in favor, say "aye." vote? 1 4 (Commission members said "aye.") 15 COMMISSIONER READ: Opposed? 16 All right. It passes unanimously. Thank you. 17 That's right. I'll get it right. 18 Pritsos. Next, we have, the next item is CA-2-19, 19 20 consideration of and possible action on amendments to 21 Title 20 of the Sparks Municipal Code to revise definitions and the map, permitted uses, and sign 2.2 standards for the Mixed-Use District zoning. 23 Thank you, Commissioner Read, 24 MR. RUNDLE: